Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental					
LRB	Number	09-4652/1		Introd	duction Number	SB-7	703					
Conte prepar	Description Content of executive budget bills and requiring a supermajority approval for certain bills in the state legislature, preparation of results-based biennial budget requests by executive branch state agencies, and state agency base budget review reports											
Fiscal	Effect											
	No Local Go Indeterminate	Existing tions Existing tions tions ew Appropriations evernment Costs e	Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues 3. Increase Revenue	ng	Increase Costs within agency's Yes Decrease Costs 5.Types of Local Gaffected Towns	budget	e possible to absorb No No ent Units					
	2. Decrea	se Costs	4. Decrease Revenu	ne e	Counties School Distri		Others VTCS Districts					
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations												
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS												
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву	Autho	orized S	ignature		Date					
DOA/	Dawn Soletsl	ki (608) 266-6497	Marth	a Kerne	r (608) 266-1359		6/1/2010					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 6/1/2010

LRB Number	09-4652/1	Introduction Number	SB-703	Estimate Type	Original
			·····		

Description

Content of executive budget bills and requiring a supermajority approval for certain bills in the state legislature, preparation of results-based biennial budget requests by executive branch state agencies, and state agency base budget review reports

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

SB-127 has three major components that affect state agencies. First, the legislation provides for the reinstatement of base budget review reporting, which was eliminated from statute in 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. Secondly, the bill provides that agencies, except for the Legislature and the Courts, submit their biennial budget requests using the principles of results-based budgeting, as defined in the bill. Lastly, the bill requires that the Governor's biennial budget submission be in the form of not a single bill, but multiple bills, delineated by fund source.

Reinstating the base budget review reporting requirement would require agencies to dedicate base resources, but could be absorbed.

Requiring agency budgets to be based on results-based budgeting and requiring the Governor's biennial budget submissions to be in the form of multiple bills, based on fund source, would be a major procedural and process change for state agencies in the way they compile their biennial budget requests. In addition, the State Budget Office would need to modify the current processes and systems used to compile, review and compare agency budget requests. It is not possible at this time to accurately estimate the increased staff and programming time that would be needed to implement these changes, although a redesign of the current budget system would be needed, as well as implementation and agency training.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Unknown.